Contents

Te	erminology in this document:	5
Pı	rocedural Matters	5
	IJ Panel	5
	Representation of parties	5
	Hearings heard together	5
	Absence of parties	5
	Observers	5
	Interpreters	5
	Objections on Conflict of Interest	5
	Witnesses and other evidence	6
	Reopening	6
	Scoring inquiry that becomes a request for redress	6
	Hearing involving support person	6
	Appendix T2	7
	Change Hearing Type	7
V	alidity Conclusions for Protests, Requests for Redress, and Requests to Reopen	8
	Hail & Flag	8
	Hail (Boards)	8
	Hail (Kiteboards)	8
	Committee Informing Boat	8
	Committee Informing (Board)	8
	Not Within Hailing Distance	8
	Inform for NSC	8
	Inform-Not Observed in Racing Area	8
	Protest Committee Inform	8
	Obvious Danger, Injury, Serious Damage	8
	Obvious Danger, Injury, Serious Damage, Tangle (kiteboards)	9
	Committee Notice Board Inform	9
	Requirements when Delivered	9

	Invalid Conclusion Normal9
	Invalid Conclusion Not Involved or Did not See
	Invalid Conclusion Not Involved or Did not See (Boards)
	Invalid RRS 69 or Regulation9
	Invalid Committee Conclusion9
	Committee Invalid Rule does not Apply9
	Redress not in Writing or no Reason10
	Redress not Within Time Limit
	Redress-PC Decision not Within Time Limit10
	Redress-Incident not in Racing Area Time Limit10
	Redress Reason to Extend Time Limit
	Redress Invalid Conclusion
	Reopening Conclusions
Pi	rotest Conclusions11
	Appendix F Definitions Clear Astern and Clear Ahead; Overlap11
	Fair Sailing11
	Part 2 Preamble11
	F2 Part 2 Preamble11
	On Opposite Tacks
	On the Same Tack, Overlapped12
	On the Same Tack, Not Overlapped12
	While Tacking12
	Avoiding Contact13
	Acquiring Right-of-Way13
	Changing Course13
	On the Same Tack; Proper Course13
	When Mark-Room Applies13
	Giving Mark Room14
	Tacking in the Zone
	Gybing in the Zone15
	Matt

	Gybing in the Zone (Boards)	16
	Giving Room at an Obstruction	16
	Hailing for Room to Tack	16
	Responding to a Hail	16
	Additional Requirements for Hails	16
	Starting Errors	17
	Taking Penalties	17
	Backing a Sail	17
	Stopping, Slowing, Not Making Progress (Kiteboards)	17
	Jumping (Kiteboards)	17
	Capsized, Anchored, Aground, Rescuing	17
	Interfering with Another Boat	17
	Sailing the Course	18
	Touching a Mark	18
	Races Restarted or Resailed	18
	Personal Flotation Devices	18
	Outside Help	18
	Exoneration	19
	Penalties at the Time of an Incident	19
	One-Turn and Two-Turns Penalties	20
	Lifelines	21
	Class Rule	21
R	ledress Request Conclusions	21
	To Grant Redress	21
	To Deny Redress	22
	Appendix P Error	23
R	lequests to Withdraw a Protest or Request for Redress	23
R	leopening Request Conclusions	24
Ρ	rotest Decisions	25
	Allow Withdrawal	25
	Invalid	25

	60.4(c)(1) and no injury or serious damage	25
	Dismissed	25
	DSQ a Boat	25
	DCT a Kite	25
	Took Applicable Penalty	25
	Took Applicable Penalty, Tangle	25
	Upheld, race restarted or resailed	25
D	iscretionary Penalty Decisions	26
S	upport Person Decisions	26
	Boat(s) Warned	26
	Support Person Excluded	26
	Privileges or Benefits Removed	26
R	edress Decisions	27
	Request Withdrawn	27
	Request Invalid	27
	Redress not given	27
	Redress Granted – Specific Place	27
	Race Abandoned	27
	Race Reinstated	27
	Redress Granted – Average Before Last Day	27
	Matter	28
	Redress Granted – Average on Last Day	28
R	eopening Decisions	28
	Request invalid	28
	Reopening Denied	28
	Reopening Granted	28

Terminology in this document:

In general, the document uses the term 'boat'

When applied to boards under RRS Appendix B, according to the introduction of RRS Appendix B, the term 'boat' in the rule is replaced by 'board' if appropriate.

When applied to kiteboards under RRS Appendix F, according to the introduction of RRS Appendix F, the term 'boat' in the rule is replaced by 'kiteboard' if appropriate.

Procedural Matters

Matter	Wording
Online Hearing ONL1	The hearing was held online. [##] judges were in person.
IJ Panel (RRS N1.4(b) IJ-1	The panel of the International Jury was composed in accordance with RRS N1.4(b).
IJ-2	[X] [Y] requested a hearing with a full panel within the time limit in accordance with RRS N1.4(b). [Name and Name] joined the panel.
Representation of parties REP-1	[X] [Y] was represented by [XX].
REP-2	The protest committee decided there is a good reason to allow [Insert name] who was not on board at the time of the incident to represent [X] [Y] as per RRS 63.1(a)(4).
Hearings heard together HT-1	Hearing No. [##] was heard together with hearing No. [##] in accordance with RRS 63.2(b) since both hearings arose from [the same incident] [very closely connected incidents].
Absence of parties AP-1	During the hearing: [X] [Y] did not come to the hearing, the hearing proceeded in accordance with RRS 63.1(b).
AP-2	When giving the decision: [X] [Y] did not come to the hearing when the protest committee gave the decision.
Observers OBS-1	[Z] ([insert role, e.g. coach of [X] or [Y], media representative etc.] attended the hearing as an observer.
OBS-2	The panel did not admit [Z] as an observer for [X] [Y] because [brief description].
OBS-3	[Z] was sent out of the hearing by the panel chair because [brief description].
Interpreters INT-1	[Z] ([insert role, e.g. coach of [X] or [Y], media representative etc.] attended the hearing as interpreter for [X] [Y] [any other person involved].
Objections on Conflict of Interest COI-1	[X] [Y] objected to panel member [name] because [brief description]. [Panel decision].

Matter	Wording
Witnessesand	[Z] ([insert role, e.g. coach of [X] or [Y], media representative etc.] attended
other evidence WIT-1	the hearing as a witness for [X] [Y] [any other person involved].
	[Z] ([insert role, e.g. coach of [X] or [Y], media representative etc.] provided
WIT-2	video evidence.
	Evidence excluded under RRS 63.4(b): The protest committee excluded [X's / Y's] [witnesses'] evidence, as it
WIT-3	considered to be [irrelevant] [unduly repetitive] in accordance with RRS 63.4(b).
Reopening REO-1	The hearing was reopened on [date] as decided in reopening consideration No. [##].
REO-2	The hearing was reopened on [date] in accordance with RRS 63.7(a)(1), because the protest committee decided that [X] [Y] was unavoidably absent at the original hearing: [Brief description of the reason].
REO-3	The protest committee decided that [it may have made a significant error][new evidence became available within a reasonable time] and reopened the hearing on [date] in accordance with RRS [63.7(a)(2)][63.7(a)(3)]. The parties [were][were not] present.
REO-4	Add when reopening for new evidence or a significant error: The panel was changed in accordance with RRS [63.7(c)(1)][63.7(c)(2)]: [list the name(s) of the member(s) being replaced and/or added].
REO-5	Following an appeal decision: [The hearing was reopened] [A new hearing was held] after being ordered by the national authority under RRS [71.3][R5].
Scoring inquiry	On [date] at [time] [Y] filed a scoring inquiry claiming that [brief description].
that becomes a	The RC's decision was to make no change to the boat's score on [date] at
request for redress	[time]. Subsequently, [Y] filed this request for redress based on the same
SRE-1	claim on [date] at [time], [##] min later.
Hearing involving	Competitors supported by [name of support person] who attended the
support person SPP-1	hearing are: [list by sail number or name].
SPP-2	The protest committee received a report from [source] and decided to call a hearing to consider whether [name of support person], a support person, broke a rule according to RRS 62.1.
SPP-3	Competitors supported by [name of support person] who did not attend the hearing are: [list by sail number or name]. The hearing proceeded in accordance with RRS 63.1(b).

Matter	Wording
Appendix T2 ARB-1	The arbitrator decided that [RRS 44.1(b) may apply] [arbitration is not appropriate].
ARB-2	[Y] did not withdraw the protest after the arbitration meeting [and no boat accepted a Post-Race Penalty under RRS T1(a)] [leave blank]
ARB-3	During the arbitration meeting, [X] [Y] accepted a 30% Post- Race Penalty in accordance with RRS T1(a).
ARB-4	Prior to the hearing [X] [Y] accepted a 30% Post-Race Penalty in accordance with RRS T1(a).
Change Hearing	During the hearing the protest committee changed the type of hearing
Type	accordance with RRS 63.2(c). The hearing request is changed to [protest]
63.2C	[redress] [support person].

Validity Conclusions for Protests, Requests for Redress, and Requests to Reopen

Boat X was Protested or alleged having broken a rule Boat Y protested or requested redress or reopening Z was any other boat or person involved in the hearing

Matter	Wording
Hail & Flag	[Y] failed to [hail protest] [and] [conspicuously display a red flag] at the first
60.2(a)(1)	reasonable [opportunity] [opportunity for each] as required by RRS 60.2(a)(1).
602A11	
602A12	[Y] did not continue to display a red flag until she was no longer racing as required by RRS 60.2(a)(1).
602A13	[Y] 's hull length is 6 meters or less, therefore she is not required to display a red flag by RRS 60.2(a)(1).
Hail (Boards) B5.60.2(a)(1) B5602A1	[Y] failed to hail 'Protest' at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS B5.60.2(a)(1).
Hail (Kiteboards) F5.60.2(a)(1) F.6021	[Y] failed to hail 'Protest' at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS F5.60.2(a)(1).
Committee Informing Boat 60.2(a)(2) 60.2A	The [Race Committee] [Protest Committee] [Technical Committee] failed to inform the boat of its intention to protest after the race within the protest time limit as required by RRS 60.2(a)(2).
Committee Informing (Board) F5.60.2(a)(2) 602F2	The [Race Committee] [Protest Committee] [Technical Committee] failed to inform the kiteboard of its intention to protest after the race within the protest time limit as required by RRS F5.60.2(a)(2).
Not Within Hailing Distance 60.2(b)(1) 602B1	[X] was not within hailing distance at the time of the incident and [Y] [did] [did not] inform [X] of its intent to protest at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS [60.2(b)(1)] [F5.60.2(b)(1)].
Inform for NSC 60.2(b)(2) 602B2	[Y] failed to inform [X] of its intent to protest alleging an error in sailing the course at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS [60.2(b)(2)] [F5.60.2(b)(2)].
Inform-Not Observed in Racing Area 60.2(b)(3) 602B3	[Y] failed to inform [X] of its intent to protest alleging an incident not observed by [Y] in the racing area at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS [60.2(b)(3)] [F5.60.2(b)(3)].
Protest Committee Inform 60.2(b)(4) 602B4	The protest committee failed to inform [X] of its intent to protest under rule 60.4(c) at the first reasonable opportunity as required by RRS [60.2(b)(4)] [F5.60.2(b)(4)].
Obvious Danger, Injury, Serious Damage 60.2(c) 602C1	At the time of the incident, it was obvious to [Y] that [a crew member of either boat was in danger] [injury had resulted] [serious damage had resulted]. [Y] [informed] [failed to inform] [X] of its intention to protest within the protest time limit as required by RRS 60.2(c).

Matter	Wording
Obvious Danger, Injury, Serious Damage, Tangle (kiteboards) F5.60.2(c)602CF	At the time of the incident, it was obvious to [Y] that [a crew member of either kiteboard was in danger] [injury had resulted] [serious damage had resulted] [a tangle had resulted]. [Y] [informed] [failed to inform] [X] of its intention to protest within the protest time limit as required by RRS B5.60.2(c).
Committee Notice Board Inform 60.2(d) 602D	The [Race Committee] [Protest Committee] [Technical Committee] informed [X] of its intention to protest by posting on the official notice board on [date] at [time] as permitted by RRS 60.2(d).
Requirements when Delivered 60.3(a) 603A	When delivered, the protest [was not in writing] [did not identify the protestor] [did not identify the protestee] [did not identify the incident] as required by RRS 60.3(a).
Not Delivered Within Time Limit 60.3(b) 603B1	The protest was not delivered [to the race office] [using the method specified in the sailing instructions] within the protest time limit as required by RRS 60.3(b).
603B2	The Protest Committee decided there was [good] [no good] reason to extend the time limit under RRS 60.3(b).
Invalid Conclusion Normal 60.4(a)(1) 604A1	The protest is invalid because it does not comply with [the definition protest] [rule 60.2] [rule 60.3].
Invalid Conclusion Not Involved or Did not See 60.4(a)(2) 604A2	The protest is invalid because it is from a boat and alleges a breach of [a rule of Part 2] [Rule 31] but [Y] was not involved in or did not see the incident as required by RRS 60.4(a)(2).
Invalid Conclusion Not Involved or Did not See (Boards) B5.60.4(a) 604AB	The protest is invalid because it is from a board and alleges a breach of [a rule of Part 2] [Rule 31] but [Y] was not involved in the incident as required by RRS B5.60.4(a)(2).
Invalid RRS 69 or Regulation 60.4(a)(3) 604A3	The protest is invalid as far as it alleges a breach of [rule 69] [a Regulation referred to in rule 6 and is not permitted by the Regulation concerned] according to RRS 60.4(a)(3).
Invalid Committee Conclusion 60.4(b) 604B	The protest is invalid because it is from the [Race Committee] [Protest Committee] [Technical Committee] and is based on information from [a request for redress] [an invalid protest] [a report from a person with a conflict of interest who is not a representative of the boat itself] according to RRS 60.4(b)[(1)] [(2)] [(3)].
Committee Invalid Rule does not Apply 60.4(c) 604C	However, rule 60.4(b) does not apply because the protest is from [the protest committee after learning of an incident involving a boat that may have resulted in injury or serious damage according to RRS 60.4(c)(1)][the protest committee after learning during the hearing of a valid protest that a boat, although not a party to the hearing was involved in the incident and may have broken a rule according to RRS 60.4(c)(2)][the technical committee after first conducting an inspection and deciding a boat or personal equipment does not comply with the class rules or rule 50 according to RRS 60.4(c)(3)].

Matter	Wording
Redress not in Writing or no Reason 61.2(a) 612A	The request was [not in writing] [did not identify the reason for making it] as required by RRS 61.2(a).
Redress not Within Time Limit 61.2(b)(1) 612B1	The request was based on an incident in the racing area and was not delivered [to the race office] [using the method specified in the sailing instructions] within the protest time limit or within two hours after the incident as required by RRS 61.2(b)(1).
Redress-PC Decision not Within Time Limit 61.2(b)(2) 612B2	The request was based on a protest committee decision on the last scheduled day of racing and was not delivered [to the race office] [using the method specified in the sailing instructions] within 30 minutes after the decision was posted as required by RRS 61.2(b)(2).
Redress-Incident not in Racing Area Time Limit 61.2(b)(3) 612B3	The request was not based on an incident in the racing area or protest committee decision and was not delivered as soon as reasonably possible after the relevant information was available as required by RRS 61.2(b)(3).
Redress Reason to Extend Time Limit 61.2(b) 61.2B4	The Protest Committee decided there was [good] [no good] reason to extend the time limit under RRS 61.2(b).
Redress Invalid Conclusion 61.3 61.3	The request does not comply with RRS 61.2 and is invalid according to RRS 61.3.
Reopening Conclusions 63.7(b) 637B1	[Y] failed to request reopening in writing [to the race office] [using the method specified in the sailing instructions] as required by RRS 63.7(b). The request is invalid according to RRS 63.7(b).
637B2	On the last scheduled day of racing, [Y], after being informed of the decision on the previous day, failed to request the reopening within the protest time limit as required by RRS 63.7(b)(1). The request is invalid according to RRS 63.7(b).
637B3	On the last scheduled day of racing, [Y], after being informed of the decision on that day, failed to request the reopening within 30 minutes of being informed of the decision as required by RRS 63.7(b)(2). The request is invalid according to RRS 63.7(b).

Protest Conclusions

Boat X broke a rule

Boat Y was right-of-way or entitled to room or mark-room

Boat Z was protested but did not break a rule

·	Wording
Matter	Wording <u>List before the conclusion for the rule that requires the kiteboards not to be overlapped:</u>
Appendix F	List before the conclusion for the rute that requires the kiteboards not to be overtapped.
Definitions Clear	Since there was reasonable doubt that IVI and IVI were everlapped it is
Astern and Clear	Since there was reasonable doubt that [X] and [Y] were overlapped, it is
Ahead; Overlap	presumed that they were not, in accordance with RRS F Definition Clear
FDEF	Astern and Clear Ahead; Overlap.
	Note: To apply this rule, the facts must include that the positions of the kiteboard relative to each in regards of the overlap could not be established
Fair Sailing	By knowingly breaking rule [##] and not taking the appropriate penalty, [X]
2	failed to compete in compliance with the principles of sportsmanship and fair
RULE	play as stated in RRS Basic Principles. [X] broke RRS 2.
Part 2 Preamble	No injury / serious damage / interference RRS 23.1
PRE21	Since [X] was not racing but was sailing [in] [near] the racing area and[intended to race] [had been racing], and [there was no injury or serious damage] [there was injury or serious damage but RRS 14 was not broken] and she [did] [did not] interfere with a boat that was racing, the preamble to RRS Part 2 applies and [X] shall not be penalized.
	Injury or serious damage or interference RRS 23.1
PRE22	Since [X] was not racing but was sailing [in] [near] the racing area and [intended to race] [had been racing], and broke RRS 14 causing [injury] [serious damage], the preamble to RRS Part 2 permits the protest committee to penalize her.
PRE23	Since [X] was not racing but was sailing [in] [near] the racing area and [intended to race] [had been racing], and she interfered with a boat that was racing, the preamble to RRS Part 2 permits the protest committee to penalize her.
PRE24	IRPCAS/Government right-of-way rules When [X] met [Y] who was not sailing under RRS Part 2, [X] failed to comply with [the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea (IRPCAS)] [the government right-of-way rules] as required by the preamble to RRS Part 2.
F2 Part 2 Preamble	No injury / serious damage / interference RRS 23.1
PRE25	Since [X] was not racing but was sailing [in] [near] the racing area and [intended to race] [had been racing], and [there was no injury or serious damage or tangle] [there was injury or serious damage or tangle but RRS 14 was not broken] and she [did] [did not] interfere with a boat that was racing, the preamble to RRS Part 2 applies as changed by Appendix F and [X] shall not be penalized.

Matter	Wording
PRE26	Injury or serious damage or interference RRS 23.1 Since [X] was not racing but was sailing [in] [near] the racing area and [intended to race] [had been racing], and the incident caused [injury] [serious damage] [tangle], the preamble to RRS Part 2 as changed by Appendix F permits the protest committee to penalize her.
On Opposite Tacks	[X] on port tack failed to keep clear of [Y] on starboard tack, and broke RRS
10 RRS10	10.
CASE50	To apply WS Case 50, add before the conclusion for RRS 10: There was reasonable doubt that [X] could have crossed, if [Y] had not taken avoiding action (see WS Case 50).
On the Same Tack, Overlapped	[X] to windward failed to keep clear of [Y] to leeward, and broke RRS 11.
11 RRS11	
On the Same Tack,	[X] clear astern failed to keep clear of [Y] clear ahead, and broke RRS 12.
Not Overlapped	
12 RRS12	
While Tacking	After passing head to wind and before being on a close-hauled course, [X]
13 RRS131	failed to keep clear of [Y]. [X] broke RRS 13.
RRS132	After [X] and [Y] passed head to wind and before they were on a close-hauled course, [X] being [on the port side] [clear astern] of [Y], failed to keep clear of [Y]. [X] broke RRS 13.
B2.13	After passing head to wind and before her sail was filled, [X] failed to keep
RRS133	clear of [Y]. [X] broke RRS B2.13.
RRS134	After [X] and [Y] passed head to wind and before her sail was filled, [X] being [on the port side] [clear astern] of [Y], failed to keep clear of [Y]. [X] broke RRS B2.13.

Matter	Wording
Avoiding Contact	Keep Clear boat / Boat required to give room or mark-room
14 RRS141	It was reasonably possible for [X] [to avoid contact with another boat] [to not cause contact between boats] [to not cause contact between a boat and an object that should be avoided]. [X] broke RRS 14[(a)] [(b)] [(c)].
RRS142	Right-of-Way boat / Boat entitled to room or mark-room It was not reasonably possible for [Y] [the right-of-way boat] [the boat sailing within the room to which she was entitled] [the boat sailing within the mark-room to which she was entitled] [to avoid contact with another boat] [to not cause contact between boats] [to not cause contact between a boat and an object that should be avoided] when it was clear that [X] was not [keeping clear] [giving room] [giving mark-room]. [Y] did not break RRS 14.
RRS143	[X] [the right-of-way boat] [the boat sailing within the room to which she was entitled] [the boat sailing within the mark-room to which she was entitled] [did not avoid contact with another boat] [caused contact between boats] [caused contact between a boat and an object that should be avoided] when it was reasonably possible after it became clear that [Y] was not [keeping clear] [giving room] [giving mark-room]. [X] broke RRS 14 [(a)] [(b)] [(c)].
Acquiring Right-of-	When acquiring right of way through her own actions, [X] failed to initially give
Way	[Y] room to keep clear, and broke RRS 15.
15 RRS15	Note for Appendix F: do not forget the exoneration under RRS F4.43.1(d)
Changing Course 16.1 RRS161	When changing course, [X] the right-of-way boat failed to give [Y] room to keep clear, and broke RRS 16.1.
F2.16.1 RRS162	When [changing course] [changing the position of its kite], [X] the right-of-way kiteboard failed to give [Y] room to continue keeping clear, and broke RRS F2.16.1.
16.2 RRS163	When bearing away on a beat to windward, [X] on starboard tack forced [Y] on port tack and sailing to pass to leeward of her to change course immediately to continue keeping clear, and broke RRS 16.2.
F2.16.2 RRS164	When [bearing away] [changing the position of its kite] on a beat to windward, [X] on starboard tack forced [Y] on port tack and sailing to pass to leeward of her to [change course] [change the position of her kite] immediately to continue keeping clear, and broke RRS F2.16.2.
On the Same Tack; Proper Course 17 RRS171	[X] became overlapped from clear astern, within two of her hull lengths to leeward of [Y], and sailed above her proper course without promptly sailing astern of [Y], and broke RRS 17.
B2.17 RRS172	[X] overlapped to leeward and on the same tack as [Y] during the last 30 seconds before her starting signal, sailed above the shortest course to the first mark while remaining overlapped, and caused [Y] to act to avoid contact without promptly sailing astern of [Y], and broke RRS B2.17.
When Mark-Room Applies 18.1 MR10	Mark-room was given to [Y] and hence RRS 18 no longer applied in accordance with RRS 18.1.

Matter	Wording
F2.18.1 MR11	Mark-room was given to [Y] and hence RRS F2.18 no longer applied in accordance with RRS F2.18.1.
Giving Mark Room	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the boats to reach the
18.2(a)(1)	zone, reached the zone overlapped with [X] or [Y], failed to give [Y] mark-
MR12	room, and broke RRS 18.2(a)(1).
MR13	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the boats to reach the zone, reached the zone overlapped with [X] or [Y], was required to give [Y] markroom but failed to do so when later [the overlap was broken] [a new overlap began] and broke RRS 18.2(a)(1).
18.2(a)(2)	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the boats to reach the
MR14	zone, reached the zone failed to give [Y] mark-room, and broke RRS 18.2(a)(2).
MR15	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the boats to reach the zone, reached the zone failed to give [Y] mark-room when later a new overlap began, and broke RRS 18.2(a)(2). [X] entitled to mark room [passed head to wind] [left the zone], therefore RRS
18.2(b) MR16	18.2(a) no longer applied between them.
18.2(c) MR17	RRS 18.2(a) did not apply between [X] and [Y]. [X] outside overlapped with [Y] failed to give [Y] mark-room and broke RRS 18.2(c).
18.2(d) MR18	Since [X] obtained an inside overlap [from clear astern] [by tacking to windward] of [Y] and, from the time the overlap began, [Y] was unable to give mark room. RRS 18.2(a) and 18.2(c) did not apply between them according to RRS 18.2(d) and [X] was not required to give mark-room to [Y].
18.2(e) MR19	List before the conclusion for RRS 18.2(a): Since there was reasonable doubt that [X] [obtained] [broke] the overlap in time, in accordance with RRS 18.2(e) it is presumed that she did not do so.
	Note : To apply this rule, the facts must include that the positions of the boats relative to each other when the first reached the zone could not be established:
MR36	Whether [X] [obtained] [broke] the overlap prior to reaching the zone could not be established.
B2.18.2(a)(1)	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the boards [rounded]
MR20	[passed] the mark, failed to give [Y] mark-room, and broke RRS B2.18.2(a)(1).
MR21	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the boards [rounded] [passed] the mark was required to give [Y] mark-room but failed to do so when later [the overlap was broken] [a new overlap began] and broke RRS B2.18.2(a)(1).
B2.18.2(a)(2)	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the boards to [round]
MR22	[pass] the mark failed to give [Y] mark-room, and broke RRS B2.18.2(a)(2).

Matter	Wording
MR23	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the boards to [round] [pass] the mark failed to give [Y] mark-room when later a new overlap began, and broke RRS B2.18.2(a)(2).
B2.18.2(b) MR24	[X] was entitled to mark room from [Y], then passed head to wind and rule B2.18.2(a) thereafter did not apply between them.
F2.18.2(a)(1) MR25	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the kiteboards to reach the zone, reached the zone overlapped with [X] or [Y], failed to give [Y] mark-room, and broke RRS F2.18.2(a)(1).
MR26	[X], outside overlapped when [X] or [Y], the first of the kiteboards to reach the zone, reached the zone overlapped with [X] or [Y], was required to give [Y] mark-room but failed to do so when later [the overlap was broken] [a new overlap began] and broke RRS F2.18.2(a)(1).
F2.18.2(a)(2) MR27	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the kiteboards to reach the zone, reached the zone failed to give [Y] mark-room, and broke RRS F2.18.2(a)(2).
MR28	[X], not overlapped with [Y] when [X] or [Y], the first of the kiteboards to reach the zone, reached the zone failed to give [Y] mark-room when later a new overlap began, and broke RRS F2.18.2(a)(2).
F2.18.2(b) MR29	[X] was entitled to mark room from [Y], then changed tack and rule F2.18.2(a) thereafter did not apply between them.
F2.18.2(c) MR30	[X] entitled to mark room left the zone and was no longer entitled to mark-room according to F2.18.2(c).
Tacking in the Zone 18.3 MR31	After [X] passed head to wind from port to starboard tack inside the zone, she caused [Y] on starboard tack and fetching the mark since entering the zone, to sail above close-hauled to avoid contact. [X] broke RRS 18.3.
MR32	After [X] passed head to wind from port to starboard tack inside the zone, she failed to give mark-room to [Y] who was on starboard and fetching the mark since entering the zone and became overlapped inside her. [X] broke RRS 18.3.
F2.18.3 MR33	Before changing tack at the mark, [X] the right-of-way kiteboard overlapped inside of [Y], sailed farther from the mark than needed to sail her proper course and [X] affected the course of [Y], another kiteboard. [X] broke RRS F2.18.3.
MR34	Before changing tack at the mark, [X] the right-of-way kiteboard overlapped inside of [Y], sailed farther from the mark than needed to sail her proper course but [X] did not affect the course of [Y]. [X] did not break RRS F2.18.3.
Gybing in the Zone	Before gybing at the mark, [X] the right-of-way boat overlapped inside of [Y],
18.4 MR37	sailed farther from the mark than needed to sail her proper course. [X] broke RRS 18.4.

Matter	Wording
Gybing in the Zone (Boards) B2.18.4 MR35	Before [gybing] [bearing away] at the mark, [X] the right-of-way board overlapped inside of [Y], sailed farther from the mark than needed to sail her proper course. [X] broke RRS B2.18.4.
Giving Room at an Obstruction 19.2(a) 192A	[X], the right of way boat, chose to pass the obstruction on her [port] [starboard] side. When changing course, she failed to give [Y] room to keep clear and broke RRS 19.2(a).
19.2(b) 192B1	[X], the outside overlapped boat at the obstruction, failed to give [Y] room to pass between her and the obstruction, despite being able to do so from the time the overlap began. [X] broke RRS 19.2(b).
192B2	[X] was unable to give room to [Y] overlapped inside at the obstruction from the time the overlap began, and did not break RRS 19.2(b).
19.2(c) 192C1	While passing a continuing obstruction, [X], clear astern and required to keep clear of [Y] became overlapped between [Y] and the obstruction when there was not room for her to pass between them. Rules 10 and 11 did not apply and she was not entitled to room under RRS 19.2(b). While remaining overlapped, [X] did not keep clear of [Y] and broke RRS 19.2(c).
Hailing for Room to Tack 20.1 20.11	[X] hailed 'room to tack' at an obstruction that was a mark. As a result of the hail, [Y] who was fetching the mark was required to change course. [X] broke RRS 20.1.
20.1(a) 20.12	[X] hailed 'room to tack' while she [was not approaching an obstruction] [did not soon need to make a substantial course change to avoid an obstruction safely], and broke RRS 20.1(a).
20.1(b) 20.13	[X] hailed for room to tack while she was not sailing close-hauled or above, and broke RRS 20.1(b).
Responding to a Hail 20.2(a) 20.21	[X] failed to give [Y] time to respond after she hailed for room to tack, and broke RRS 20.2(a). Note: Pay attention to whether the NoR also requires an alternative communication under RRS 20.4(b).
20.2(c) 20.22	[X] failed to [tack as soon as possible] [immediately respond] after [Y] hailed her for room to tack, as required by RRS 20.2(b) and (c).
20.2(d) 20.23	[X] who had hailed [Y] for room to tack, failed to tack as soon as possible after [Y] had responded, and broke RRS 20.2(d).
Additional Requirements for Hails	The hail could not be heard, and [X] did not make any signal to indicate [her need for room to tack] [her response], and broke RRS 20.4(a).
20.4(a) 20.41 20.4(b) 20.42	[X] failed to indicate [her need for room to tack] [her response] using the [insert the alternative communication] required by the Notice of Race, and broke RRS 20.4(b) and NoR [##].
F2.20.4 20.43	Since [X] failed to make the arm signal required by RRS F2.20.4, her hail was invalid.

Matter	Wording
Starting Errors	While sailing towards [the pre-start side of the starting line] [an extension
21.1 21.01	to the starting line] to start after the starting signal, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS 21.1.
21.02	While complying with RRS 30.1, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS 21.1.
Taking Penalties	While taking a penalty turn, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS 21.2.
21.2 21.03	
Backing a Sail	While moving [astern] [sideways to windward] through the water by backing a
21.3 21.04	sail, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS 21.3.
Stopping, Slowing, Not Making Progress (Kiteboards) F2.21.3 21.05	While [stopping] [slowing down significantly] [not making significant forward progress] during the last minute before her starting signal and not being accidentally capsized, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS F2.21.3.
Jumping (Kiteboards) F2.21.4 21.06	While jumping, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y], and broke RRS F2.21.4.
Capsized, Anchored,	[X] failed to avoid [Y] who [was capsized] [had not regained control after
Aground, Rescuing	capsizing] [was anchored] [was aground] [was trying to help a person or
22 22.01	vessel in danger], even though it was possible. [X] broke RRS 22.
B2.22.1 22.02	[X] failed to avoid [Y] who [was capsized] [had not regained control after capsizing] [was aground] [was trying to help a person or vessel in danger], even though it was possible. [X] broke RRS B2.22.1.
B2.22.2 22.03	[X] who was [capsized] [aground] interfered with [Y] when it was possible to avoid her, and broke RRS B2.22.2.
F2.22.1 22.04	[X] failed to avoid [Y] who was [capsized] [aground] [trying to help a person or vessel in danger], even though it was possible. [X] broke RRS F2.22.1.
F2.22.2 22.05	While recovering, [X] failed to keep clear of [Y] who was not recovering, and broke RRS F2.22.2.
Interfering with Another Boat 23.1 23.11	[X] who was not racing interfered with [Y] who was racing, even thought it was reasonably possible to avoid her. [X] broke RRS 23.1.
23.2	Before the starting signal
23.21	Although it was reasonably possible to avoid [Y], [X] interfered with [Y] who was [taking a penalty] [sailing on another leg of the course] [sailing to the pre-start side of the starting line] [sailing to an extension of the starting line after her starting signal]. [X] broke RRS 23.2.
23.22	After the starting signal Although it was reasonably possible to avoid [Y], [X] who was not sailing her proper course, interfered with [Y] who was [taking a penalty] [sailing on another leg of the course] [sailing toward the pre-start side of the starting line] [sailing toward an extension of the starting line after her starting signal]. [X] broke RRS 23.2.

Matter	Wording
B2.23.3	In the last minute before her starting signal, [X], who was not accidentally
23.23	capsized and whose sail was not out of the water and in a normal position,
	broke RRS B2.23.3
Sailing the Course	[X] failed to sail the course, and broke RRS 28.1.
28.1 28.11	
28.2	[X] failed to sail the course, and broke RRS 28.1. RRS 28.2 does not permit her
28.21	to correct this error after finishing.
Touching a Mark	[X] touched mark [##] and broke RRS 31.
31 31.01	
B3.31 31.02	[X] held on to mark [##] and broke RRS B3.31.
Races Restarted or	If X broke RRS 14 and there is neither injury nor serious damage:
Resailed	Since the race was [restarted] [resailed] and [X] had caused neither injury
36 36.01	nor serious damage, she shall not be penalized as per RRS 36(b).
00 00.01	
	If X broke RRS 14 and caused injury or serious damage:
	Since the incident caused [injury] [serious damage], [X] may be penalized
36.02	as per RRS 36(b), even though the race was [restarted] [resailed].
	If X broke RRS 2 or 69:
	Since [X] broke RRS [2] [69] in the incident, she may be penalized as per RRS
36.03	36(b), even though the race was [restarted] [resailed].
F3.36	If X broke RRS 14 and there is neither injury, serious damage, nor a tangle:
	Since the race was [restarted] [resailed] and [X] caused neither injury,
36.04	serious damage nor a tangle, she shall not be penalized as per RRS
	F3.36(b).
	If X broke RRS 14 and caused serious damage, injury or a tangle: Since the incident caused [injury] [serious damage] [a tangle], [X] may be
	penalized as per RRS F3.36(b), even though the race was [restarted]
36.05	[resailed].
Personal Flotation	[Name], a competitor on [X] failed to wear a personal flotation device as
Devices	required by [RRS 40.2] [NoR] [SI] [Class Rule] [(a)] [(b)] [(c)] [##] and broke
40 40.01	RRS 40.1.
Outside Help	[X] received help from an outside source, and broke RRS 41.
41 41.01	
	[Z] received help [for a crew member who was ill, injured or in danger] [after
41.02	a collision, from the crew of the other vessel to get clear] [in the form of
41.02	information freely available to all boats] [in the form of unsolicited
	information from a disinterested source], as permitted by RRS 41[(a)] [(b)]
	[(c)] [(d)].
41.03	[Z] did not receive help from an outside source, as permitted by RRS 41.
F4.41	[Z] received help [from another competitor in the same race to assist a
	relaunch] [to change equipment, but only in the launching area], as
41.04	permitted by RRS F4.41 [(e)] [(f)].

Matter	Wording
Exoneration	Since [X] was compelled to break RRS [##] as a consequence of [Y]
43.1(a) 43.11	breaking RRS [##], she is exonerated under RRS 43.1(a) for this breach.
43.1(b)	Since [X] broke RRS [a rule of Section A] [15] [16] [31] while she was sailing
43.12	within the [room] [mark-room] to which she was entitled, she is exonerated
	under RRS 43.1(b) for this breach.
43.1(c)	Since [X] was [right-of way boat] [sailing within the room to which she was entitled] [sailing within the mark-room to which she was entitled] and the
43.13	contact did not cause damage or injury, she is exonerated under RRS 43.1(c)
	for breaking RRS 14.
F4.43	Since [X] was [right-of way kiteboard] [sailing within the room to which she
43.14	was entitled] [sailing within the mark-room to which she was entitled] and
10111	the contact did not cause damage, injury or a tangle, she is exonerated
	under RRS F4.43.1(c) for breaking RRS 14.
43.15	Since [X] broke RRS 15 but there was no contact, she is exonerated for her
45.15	breach under RRS F4.43.1(d).
Penalties at the	Since RRS 44.1(b) did not apply, [X] took an applicable penalty by [taking a
Time of an Incident	post-race penalty under RRS T1(a)] [taking a One-Turn Penalty] [taking a
44.1 44.11	Two-Turns Penalty] [retiring].
44.1(b)	Since [X] caused [injury] [serious damage], her penalty was to retire as
44.12	required by RRS 44.1(b).
	Since [X] gained a significant advantage by her breach despite taking a
	penalty in the [race] [series], her penalty was to retire as required by RRS
44.13	44.1(b).
B4.41.1	Since [X] caused [injury] [serious damage] by her breach, her penalty was to
44.14	retire as required by RRS B4.44.1.
44.14	
44.15	Since [X] gained a significant advantage despite taking a penalty in the
44.15	[race] [series] by her breach, her penalty was to retire as required by RRS B4.44.1.
F4.41.1	Since [X] caused [injury] [serious damage] [a tangle] by her breach, her
44.16	penalty was to retire as required by RRS F4.44.1(b).
44.10	
44.47	Since [X] [gained a significant advantage] [caused significant disadvantage to
44.17	the other kiteboard] in the [race] [series] by her breach despite taking a
	penalty, her penalty was to retire as required by RRS F4.44.1(b).

Matter	Wording
One-Turn and Two-	By not getting well clear of other boats as soon after the incident as
Turns Penalties	possible before taking the penalty turns, [X] failed to comply with the
44.2 44.21	requirement of RRS 44.2.
	By delaying her penalty turns by [## seconds] [## hull lengths] [## distance]
44.22	after getting well clear of other boats after the incident, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS 44.2.
44.23	By not completely taking the [Two-turns] [One-Turn] Penalty, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS 44.2.
44.24	Since [X]'s hull was not completely on the course side of the finishing line before she finished, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS 44.2.
B4.44.2	By not getting well clear of other boards as soon after the incident as
44.25	possible before taking the 360° - Turn Penalty, [X] failed to comply with the requirement of RRS B4.44.2.
44.26	By delaying her 360°-Turn Penalty by [## seconds] [## board lengths] [## distance] after getting well clear of other boards after the incident, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS B4.44.2.
44.27	By not completely taking the 360°-Turn Penalty, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS B4.44.2.
44.28	Since [X]'s hull was not completely on the course side of the finishing line before she finished, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS B4.44.2.
F4.44.2	By not getting well clear of other kiteboards as soon after the incident as
44.31	possible before taking the One-Turn Penalty, [X] failed to comply with the requirement of RRS F4.44.2.
44.32	By delaying her penalty turns by [##seconds] [## distance] after getting well clear of other kiteboards after the incident, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS F4.44.2.2
44.33	By not completely taking the One-Turn Penalty, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS F4.44.2.
44.34	By not making one turn with her hull appendage in the water, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS F4.44.2.
44.35	Since [X]'s hull and competitor were not completely on the course side of the finishing line before she finished, [X] failed to comply with the requirements of RRS F4.44.2.
	·

Matter	Wording
Lifelines	The lifelines on [X] were not taught as required by RRS 49.2.
49.2 49.21	
Class Rule	[X] failed to comply with class rule [##].
CRCON	

Redress Request Conclusions

Boat X was alleged to have broken a rule or to be a vessel that was required to keep clear or to be at fault under the IRPCAS or government right-of-way rules

Boat Y protested or requested redress

Z was any other boat or person involved in the hearing

NAZ P. A
Wording
[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse
through no fault of her own by an [improper action] [improper omission] of
the [race committee] [protest committee] [technical committee] [organizing authority]. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are met.
[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [injury] [physical damage] because of the action of a boat that broke RRS [a rule of Part 2], a rule of Part 2, and [took an appropriate penalty] [was penalized]. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(2) are met.
[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [injury] [physical damage] because of the action of vessel not racing that was [required to keep clear] [determined to be at fault under the IRPCAS] [determined to be at fault under a government right-of-way rule]. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(3) are met.
[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by giving help (except to herself or her crew) in compliance with RRS 1.1. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(4) are met.
[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [an action of another boat] [an action of a crew member of another boat] [an action of a support person of another boat] that resulted in a [penalty under RRS 2] [warning under RRS 69] [penalty under RRS 69] Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(5) are met.

Matter	Wording
To Deny Redress 61.4 RC06	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was not made significantly worse. Therefore, the requirements for redress under RRS 61.4 are not met.
RC07	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through some fault of her own. Therefore, the requirements for redress under RRS 61.4 are not met.
RC08	There was neither an improper action nor improper omission of the [race committee] [protest committee] [technical committee] [organizing authority]. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(1) are not met.
RC09	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [injury] [physical damage] because of the action of a boat that was breaking RRS [a rule of Part 2], a rule of Part 2. However, [X] was neither penalized nor took an appropriate penalty. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(2) are not met.
RC10	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [injury] [physical damage] because of the action of vessel not racing. However that vessel was neither required to keep clear nor determined to be at fault under the IRPCAS or a government right-of-way rule. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(3) are not met.
RC11	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by giving help to herself or her crew. Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(4) are not met.
RC12	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by [an action of another boat] [an action of a crew member of another boat] [an action of a support person of another boat] that did not result in a [penalty under RRS 2] [warning under RRS 69] [penalty under RRS 69] Therefore, the requirements for redress in RRS 61.4(b)(5) are not met.

Matter	Wording
To Grant Redress	To grant redress under RRS 61.4(b) as changed by RRS B5.61:
(Boards)	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse
B5.61	through no fault of her own by [injury] [physical damage] [capsizing]
RC13	because of the action of [X] that broke RRS [a rule of Part 2], a rule of Part
	2, and [took an appropriate penalty] [was penalized] [a vessel not racing
	that was required to keep clear]. Therefore, the requirements for redress in
	RRS 61.4(b) as changed by RRS B5.61 are met.
	To refuse redress under RRS 61.4(b) as changed by RRS B5.61:
RC14	[Y]'s [score] [place] in [race ##] [the series] was made significantly worse
	through no fault of her own by [score] [place] because of the action of [X]
	that broke RRS [a rule of Part 2], a rule of Part 2. However, [X] was neither
	penalized nor took an appropriate penalty. Therefore, the requirements for
	redress in RRS 61.4(b) as changed by RRS B5.61 are not met.
Appendix P Error	Since the penalty was not due to the failure to take into account a race
P4	committee signal or a class rule, [Y] is not entitled to redress as provided by
RC15	RRS P4.

Requests to Withdraw a Protest or Request for Redress

Matter	Wording
63.2(a)	Suggested Fact:
WC01	[Y] [The RC] [The TC] [The PC] requested to withdraw the [protest] [request
	for redress] [reopening request].
	Conclusion:
WC02	There is no reason to refuse the request to withdraw the [protest] [request
	for redress] [reopening request].

Reopening Request Conclusions

Boat Y requested reopening See above for conclusions on validity for requests to reopen a hearing

To Deny	
Reopening	The protest committee did not make a significant error in the original
REO-6	hearing. Therefore, the requirements in RRS 63.7(a)(1) for reopening the
	hearing are not met.
	The evidence that [Y] plans to present is not new. Therefore, the
REO-7	requirements in RRS 63.7(a)(2) for reopening the hearing are not met.
	The evidence that [Y] plans to present is not significant. Therefore, the
REO-8	requirements in RRS 63.7(a)(2) for reopening the hearing are not met.
	The new evidence that [Y] plans to present did not become available within
	a reasonable time after the hearing. Therefore, the requirements in RRS
REO-9	63.7(a)(2) for reopening the hearing are not met.

Protest Decisions

Matter	Decision	Short
Allow Withdrawal	The protest committee allows the	Protest Withdrawn
	protest to be withdrawn. DL01	DS01
Invalid	The protest is invalid, the hearing is	Protest Invalid
	closed under RRS 63.4(a)(1). DL02	DS02
60.4(c)(1) and no injury or	The protest was made under RRS	No Injury or Serious
serious damage	60.4(c)(1) and there was no injury or	Damage, Hearing
	serious damage. The hearing is closed	Closed DS03
	under RRS 63.4(a)(2). DL03	
Dismissed	The protest is dismissed. DL04	Protest Dismissed
		DS04
DSQ a Boat	[X] is DSQ in race(s) [##]. DL05	[X] DSQ in race(s)
		[##] DS05
DCT a Kite	For kiteboards if a tangle was caused for the first time during the event:	[X] DCT in race(s)
	[X] is DCT in race(s) [##]. Furthermore,	[##]
	the incident is counted to determine	DS06
	the number of tangles the kiteboard	
	has caused during the event under RRS	
	F5.60.5(e). DL06	
Took Applicable Penalty	The protest is upheld. Since [X] took an	No further penalty
	applicable penalty, she is not further	given
	penalized under RRS 60.5(c)(2). DL07	DS07
Took Applicable Penalty, Tangle	The protest is upheld. Since [X] took an	No further penalty
	applicable penalty, she is not further	given
	penalized under RRS 60.5(c)(2).	DS07
	However, the incident is counted to	
	determine the number of tangles the	
	kiteboard has caused during the event	
	under RRS F5.60.5(e). DL08	
Upheld, race restarted or	The protest is upheld. Since the	No penalty given
resailed	provision of RRS 36(b) applies, no	DS09
	penalty is imposed on [X] under RRS	
	60.5(c)(3). DL09	

Discretionary Penalty Decisions

Matter	Decision	Short
WS Discretionary Penalty	Using the WS Discretionary Penalty	[X] [##]% DPI in
	Policy, a starting penalty of [##]% was	Race(s) [##]
	decided. [the penalty was increased	DS10
	because] [the penalty was decreased	
	because] [there were no facts to justify	
	increasing or decreasing the penalty]	
	[reason] [blank]. [X] is penalized [##]%	
	applied to [all races of the day] [race(s)	
	[##]], calculated to the nearest tenth of	
	a point (0.05 to be rounded upward),	
	but not worse than the score for DNF.	
	DL10	
Boat Reported the Breach	Since [X] reported her breach of rule	[X] [##]% DPI in
	[##], her discretionary penalty shall be	Race(s) [##]
	[##]% applied to [all races of the day]	DS10
	[race(s) [##]], calculated to the nearest	
	tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded	
	upward), but not worse than the score	
	for DNF under RRS 64. DL11	

Support Person Decisions

Matter	Decision	Short
Boat(s) Warned	[Boat Y is] [boats Y1 Y2 Y3are] warned under RRS 62.3(a) that a penalty may be imposed if [name of support person] commits a further breach of any rule. DL12	Warning Given DS12
Support Person Excluded	[name of support person] is excluded from [the event] [the venue] for [duration of exclusion] under RRS 62.3(b). DL13	Support Person Excluded for [duration of exclusion]. DS13
Privileges or Benefits Removed	[name of support person] has the following privileges or benefits removed for [duration of removal]: [describe privileges or benefits] under RRS 62.3(b). DL14	Support Person has Privileges or Benefits Removed for [duration of removal]. DS14

Redress Decisions

Matter	Decision	Short
Request	The protest committee allows the request for	Request for redress
Withdrawn	redress to be withdrawn. DL15	withdrawn DS15
Request Invalid	The request for redress is invalid, the hearing is	Request for Redress
	closed under RRS 63.4(a)(1). DL16	invalid DS16
Redress not given	Redress is not given.	Redress not given
	DL17	DS17
Redress Granted –	Redress is given to [Y]. [Y] is to be scored in race	Redress is given to
Specific Place	[##] points equal to [##]. No other boat's score shall	[Y]
	change. DL18	DS18
Race Abandoned	Race [##] is abandoned.	Race [##]
	DL19	abandoned
		DS19
Race Reinstated	Race [##] is reinstated.	Race [##] reinstated
	DL20	DS20
Redress Granted – Average Before Last Day	Boat did NOT finish the race: Redress is given to [Y]. [Y] is to be scored in race [##] points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races sailed before the last scheduled day of the regatta if racing is later scheduled on that day, except race [##]. No other boat's score shall change. DL21 Boat DID finish the race: Redress is given to [Y]. [Y] is to be scored in race [##] points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races sailed before the last scheduled day of the regatta if racing is later scheduled on that day, except race [##] but not worse than [##] (her finishing position in the race).	Redress given to [Y] DS21

Matter	Decision	Short
Redress Granted – Average on Last Day	Boat did NOT finish the race: Redress is given to [Y]. [Y] is to be scored in race [##] points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races in the series, except race [##]. No other boat's score shall change. DL23	Redress given to [Y] DS21
	Boat DID finish the race: Redress is given to [Y]. [Y] is to be scored in race [##] points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races in the series, except race [##] but not worse than [##] (her finishing position in the race). No other boat's score shall change. DL24	
	Note: See World Sailing Jury Policies for redress in case of an event that is split into opening and final series!	

Reopening Decisions

Matter	Decision	Short
Request invalid	[X]'s request to reopen Hearing No. [##] is invalid.	Request to reopen
	DL25	invalid
		DS25
Reopening Denied	Hearing No. [##] will not be reopened.	Request to reopen
	DL26	denied DS26
Reopening	Hearing No. [##] will be reopened.	Hearing [##]
Granted	DL27	reopened DS27